	Approved For Rel	ease 2005/02/17 : CIA-RDP78B04767A000300010018-7
		6/0/05
	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
		ATTENDEES
STATOTHR	·	BRIEFING 29 Jan 70
_		,
		NPIC/PSG/R&RD
STATOTHR		NPIC/PSG/RERD
TATOTHR	2 attendees	
STATOTHR_	2 attendies 3 attendees	AID
TATOTHE		

Approved For Release 2005/02/17: CIA-RDP78B0476744000300010018-7

Notes on Review of ON Handbook

Chapter I - Introduction to methodology of report format.

Chapter II - Training oriented - Mainly deals with reason for certain type of analysis.

Chapter III - A. Deals with techniques of objectively measuring image quality.

- B. Page 52 <u>Subjective Aspects</u>: Essentially says

 there is little (if any) corelation between objective

 measures and subjective measures.
- C. Talks about MTF measured from line and edge targets.

Chapter IV

Chart III, Item D, Page 83 - Dupe evaluation assumes inability to accommodate entire ON tonal scale and variance (Para 1, Pg 83).

STATOTHR

Page 114 - Should be Block Number 2aiinstead of 16 as indicated.		
Page 121 - Should read Block 3a. There is no Block Number 6.		
Page 131 - Why do we calculate "Std Tgt Resolution here? Why		
not actual? Can't we calculate modulation of subject tgt?		

STATOTHR

Approved For Release 2005/02/17: CIA-RDP78B047674000300010018-7

SUBJECT: Notes on Review of ON Handbook

Page 173 - Calucation of modified D log E curve (Block 18) not shown per se. Block 26, however, is the same. There is no Block 18.

Note - Chart Number II seems to deal with total msn accumulation.

Does not seem to be good for us. It is mostly a function of

formatting data collected on Chart I.

Page 198 - Suggested format for data.

Chart III - Meaning of "Factors" calculated in Blocks 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, etc. is questionable. Vagueness results in this being a general concept rather than a viable working procedure. Blocks 1-4 amount to comparing achieved against designed.

Page 192 - Block Number 7 - Is this the right function? Same as Page 188?

Page 217-218, Chart 3, Block 3 - I don't understand why? Must be to evaluate likelihood of achieving a given gamma. But why? Page 219, Block 4 - Why do this? Same as above (Block 3) but in Log exposure space instead of Log R (reflectance) space. Page 244 - I don't understand the vertical axis on this graph. Note - Chart III and associated implementation implies some pretty meaty software support.

Approved For Release 2005/02/17 : CIA-RDP78B047674900300010018-7

SUBJECT: Notes on Review of ON Handbook

Page 251 - States: "Output to Blocks 2 and 5" however flow chart does not show output to Block 5. Block 6 instead, it seems.